

Share 0

More

Next Blog»

Create Blog Sign In



THURSDAY, 1 APRIL 2010

## How the Left have corrupted broadcast news

The democratic process in this country is hopelessly corrupted by the uncontrolled power of news broadcasters.

Why do we allow this to continue?

For years and years and years the only opinions that were ever heard sympathetically were favourable to Labour and hostile to the Tories. No opposition can succeed in these circumstances

The broadcasters pretend they are neutral. They pretend they are simply the fair and neutral ground where the elected politicians discuss the important issues of the day.

If this were ever true, it certainly is not the case now.

These unelected news broadcasters are part of the political and democratic process, whether they like it or not, yet even though they are some of the most powerful people in the country, there are still, in practice, no constitutional controls on them as there are on MPs.

This being so, why is it acceptable in a mature democracy such as ours and where electoral success is so utterly dependent on broadcasting, for a small group of unrepresentative, unelected and self-appointing individuals to be able to decide what news and opinions to tell us about, who is allowed to appear on their programmes and be able to edit and filter what they say?

The broadcasters have grown in importance and power to such an extent that they now have colossal political power. Their power at this time is so totally unchallenged by politicians that the individual presenters (and the editors, producers and support staff behind the scenes) feel able to indulge themselves and allow their personal views

### Blog Archive

- ▶ 2014 (2)
- ▼ 2010 (22)
  - ▶ May (5)
  - ▼ April (8)
    - The Left are the real racists
    - The Left do not wish to improve our society - but ...
    - I will remain. And you are still scum
    - The State is immoral and has no right to continue ...
    - I will NOT accept . . . .
    - The individual is supreme.
    - How the Left have corrupted broadcast news
    - The Leprechaun's offer. Did I make the right choic...
- ▶ March (4)
- ▶ February (4)
- ▶ January (1)

### About Me



Marcellus

Not Left. Not Right. No membership or allegiance to any political party or organisation.

to intercede.

This supreme power has developed very quickly in historical terms. So far, no constitutional checks and balances have been evolved or developed to protect the political process. As the broadcast news media has evolved into such a supreme power centre in the nation, it has caused an unacceptable democratic deficit.

There is therefore a constitutional problem which has not yet been addressed. No other political force is so free of democratic control. Why should they remain free of the checks and balances that every other political force has to accept?

The broadcast news media has now become a constitutional monster that is devouring politicians and political life in this country and there are no checks and balances of any sort. This actually is corrupting the democratic process.

The Left realised that to attain and keep political power, they had to control the broadcast news programmes. This they achieved.

The presentation of news and political opinion on broadcasting is now twisted, manipulated and distorted in a routine and systematic way. This is designed to stop the Tories returning to power and ensure endless power for the Labour Party.

This is a democratic outrage.

## How did it get to this?

For many years now Labour sympathisers have comprised the vast majority of the journalists, producers, editors and support staff working in the news and current affairs departments of the broadcast news media, particularly the BBC, ITN and Channel 4. These persons have been too cooperative to the Labour Party propaganda machine because of the many personal links between them and the Labour Party. Marcellus will return to this topic in the near future.

For at least 15 years the output of the news and current affairs programmes of the broadcast news media has been deliberately filtered, slanted and bent so that it is favourable to the Labour Party and hostile to any opponents of the Left.

TV is now the one hugely dominating influence on our culture and society. It makes our heroes and our celebrities, and it also makes our politics. If you do not appear on TV - you cease to exist.

Never in human history has such a small number of people had such power to influence such vast numbers of people instantaneously.

Very concerned about what is happening to this country and its people.

[View my complete profile](#)

These broadcasters give us ready packaged opinions on every conceivable subject; their views on houses, clothing, the arts, decoration, cars, morality, food, royalty, history, national identity and so on and so on have colossal influence on countless millions of people. News and current affairs are no different.

We have a cult of celebrity, but celebs are only those people who happen to have appeared repeatedly on the broadcast media; they have repeatedly been given the "oxygen of publicity".

The broadcasters now have a colossal influence on the shaping of attitudes and the very culture of our society.

These days if something or somebody does not appear on the television or radio they hardly seem to exist. The watching audience are disproportionately and strikingly influenced by what they see regularly on the media.

If one controls who appears on the box, what subjects are discussed and how they are presented - then you have enormous influence over Society. The electorate just follow unthinkingly what they have seen on the box. It is like a form of brainwashing.

In this media and celebrity age, TV News is now critically influential for political success.

The viewer unthinkingly follows the broadcast message.

The broadcasters are in a very privileged position. The viewer instinctively treats the presenter of a news or current affairs programme as being decent and a friend - one of the family, someone whose opinions are trusted as being decent and normal. So if they are not sympathetic, let alone if they are hostile to someone they are interviewing, this passes subliminally on to the audience.

When reading a paper you have to be alert, but when watching TV news (possibly tired after a long day's work) you are passive, very relaxed, receptive, in a mild sort of virtual trance (therapeutic hypnosis is only the deliberate use of a deeper state of relaxation) - and this allows the mind to be influenced even more by what you see and hear and how it is presented - with a sneer or with enthusiasm and support. The technical skill of these news programmes is now astonishing, compared to even 20 years ago. The constant and repetitive presentation of news to fit an agenda is a mild form of brainwashing.

The power of the broadcasters is now so great that the electorate rarely actually thinks for itself independently of the message that they get from these unelected people.

The broadcast news programmes are the modern political

battleground. Elections are now won and lost on them.

We are now a society where for at least the last 20 to 25 years the vast majority of people get virtually all their political information from the broadcast news programmes on the TV and radio and their opinions are overwhelmingly formed by how that information is presented.

With regard to the newspapers, there is some sort of a choice of political viewpoint. If you do not like a paper's politics, you don't have to buy the paper.

With broadcast news there is no such choice. It is as though a team from the Guardian was producing and directing ALL the news and current affairs programmes - same priorities, same values, same prejudices. This is not healthy for democracy.

The broadcasters seem to prefer to advertise solely in the recruitment section of The Guardian, presumably as it will be there that they will find suitable applicants of their own political persuasion. It is all so self-perpetuating.

Any institutional culture of impartiality that there was has now completely disappeared. To pretend that those individuals do not have political views and that they carry out their activities even-handedly and impartially is the most astonishing political fiction. It is completely out of date, and it simply is not true. How does this fiction survive?

The broadcasters have crossed the line. They have stopped being a level playing field on which the political debate is conducted and they have become active participants.

The broadcasters particularly in the BBC are behaving like a Government announcement service; like TASS. They are acting like the militant wing of the Labour Party. They have completely lost all restraint and all integrity. They are completely out of order.

This behaviour from a few terrestrial monopoly news broadcasters is obviously a national outrage.

No-one has ever properly explained why it is acceptable in a modern democracy that a few unaccountable, unrepresentative, unelected, self-appointed placemen are allowed to control the most influential power centre in the history of mankind.

The BBC is run by an extreme, unrepresentative and unelected cult.

The Left have finally ruined the BBC as well. The BBC is now irreparably infiltrated and broken. Too many of their hand picked staff share their extreme views.

As the BBC news department is effectively controlled by Labour, the hypnotic drip, drip, drip of hostile propaganda from the BBC has destroyed four Tory leaders. And the Tories are told they have been a weak opposition - any wonder? The Tories lost all confidence.

The problem is that there is no choice in broadcast news. They all have the same views.

With such an uncontrolled monopoly there is huge scope for mischief by the Left..

## The overall aim of the Left

The overall aim of the Left, actively supported by the BBC news and current affairs department and the other news broadcasters, is NEVER, NEVER, NEVER, NEVER, EVER let the Tories appear to be a credible alternative.

## The Left's strategy to achieve this is very simple

- (1) Ideally keep the Tories OFF THE TV SCREEN altogether . Deny them the "oxygen of publicity".
- (2) If that is not possible, then:-
  - ridicule and devalue them personally, and
  - create a row and a commotion to counter WHATEVER they say to CROWD THEIR MESSAGE OFF THE SCREEN. So, NEVER let the Tories appear on their own, there must always be a Labour presence to reduce the message.
- (3) Then it does not matter very much how useless, dishonest and serially incompetent Labour is - for the alternative is presented as being worse.

## The methods the BBC news department and other news broadcasters use to manipulate the news

- (1) Editorial manipulation
  - malicious juxtaposition of video clips
  - unflattering camera angles
  - editing out of material
  - editing so that material is distorted

- choice of subject
- editorial line - against or in favour
- personal opinion of presenter
- power to decide who is allowed on to speak for and against
- the “political correspondent” who has the last word and colours everything that has been said.

(2) Tories must never have DIRECT access to the electorate.

(3) Tories are NEVER to be given much time to explain their proposals in person, in their own words; a political commentator these days does this, and they can bend and colour the story in accordance with their own views - and invariably this means that the Tory proposals or contribution sound hopeless.

(4) Tory opinions must NEVER be allowed to appear to be important, helpful or have any value.

(5) If the story is a speech or policy announcement by the Tories, ALWAYS add a negative comment by someone, usually a Labour spokesman, tagged on to the story as well.

(6) Surround any Tory policy proposal or intervention with an atmosphere of outrage. Do not properly explain the proposals themselves. Make the real story not the Tory proposals themselves (which can therefore be drowned) but the OUTRAGE they have caused. So less time is to be given to the actual proposals and more and disproportionate time is to be given to CRITICISM of the proposals by giving clear, sympathetic airtime to a succession of opponents who appear to be outraged. This is to give the impression that no “decent” person could possibly agree with the proposals.

(7) Never allow Tories to reply to Labour comments tagged on to Tory stories.

(8) NEVER let Tories appear on their own - must have a Labour presence there as well. This does not happen to Labour.

(9) Avoid any Tory comment on Labour at all costs. If there has to be a Tory comment on a Labour story, then Labour must be allowed to respond to show why the Tories are wrong again.

(10) Labour must always have the last word.

(11) Ensure that every appearance by a Tory on the TV news programmes is dogged by Labour who tell us what the Tory policies “really” mean.

(12) The only Tory stories that are allowed are stories which show the Tories in a bad light.

(13) If Tories have to be shown, then the quote chosen to be broadcast for them (out of what may have been a long interview) is a vacuous remark.

(14) Preface a Tory comment by a devaluing introduction such as "The Tories deny they are making political capital" or "The Tories are defending themselves against charges of opportunism" and never let the Tories rebut that negative introduction (or even let them know it has been made until broadcast).

(15) Repeat Labour's current sneer over and over again - "Tory Toffs", "Tory Cuts", Tories are "opportunistic", "Tory sleaze", Tory are "populist" - and ignore that Labour itself is often the greatest culprit of the behaviour that the Tories are accused of. It frequently looks as if BBC News and Labour HQ have daily discussions to coordinate how a story is to be presented.

(16) Ridicule and grossly underplay Tory good stories and grossly overplay Tory bad stories and critics.

(17) Contrive to keep a bad Tory story going day after day with prominence. Ignore or foreshorten any bad Labour story.

(18) The vast majority of government announcements are to be made without any reply from any Tory. No Tory is to appear or even be referred to, certainly any Tory proposals for the same problem are not to be referred to. The main aim is to stop any alternative suggested by the Tories being heard let alone discussed seriously.

(19) All responses to the Tories are to give the impression that whatever the Tories say, do or propose is immoral or incompetent, or imply that selfish, self-serving or somehow bad motives are the real reason for them - that they are not proposed altruistically for the genuine benefit of the country and therefore cannot be a credible alternative to what is being done by Labour, who are altruistic.

(20) The presenter or reporter makes clear his or her personal views in supporting Labour and being hostile to the Tories.

There is a deliberate, never-ending effort to filter, bend and slant all material to portray Labour in a favourable light in comparison to the Conservatives.

In a later post we will discuss specific measures to correct this unhealthy corruption.

Posted by [Marcellus](#) at [15:09:00](#)



## 15 comments:



**Corrugated Soundbite** 1 February 2010 21:37

Looking forward to said forthcoming post. I sent you a link, by the way. As I'm distinctly "blogged out" at the moment, I did use the idea of this post to shamelessly carve one out of my own ;-)

[Reply](#)



**Marcellus** 2 February 2010 10:11

CS - this power of the Left to control broadcast news is so absolutely fundamental to why Labour keeps recovering in the polls.

[Reply](#)



**Fausty** 4 February 2010 11:52

That's a pretty comprehensive critique, Marcellus. While it's probably true that most media types are left-leaning, I doubt this came about accidentally.

The left, in order to break into "the establishment" had to mobilise lefties to seek to occupy positions of power and influence. So they might have invaded the likes of RADA first.

Once they'd established unions in such organisations, group think was easy to induce.

If you imagine that takeover technique as the smallest unit of a fractal, it is easy to see how the larger fractal image we have today came about.

[Reply](#)



**Marcellus** 4 February 2010 12:31

Fausty

I am sure you are right in suggesting that the Left sought to occupy positions where they could influence the young.

This was undoubtedly their intention and strategy.

The Left are deeply malignant.

I think it is only just becoming clear to many people how the left have honeycombed our society in pursuit of their long term aim of overturning our democratic society.

Both Douglas Carswell and John Redwood are this morning blogging about strange opinions on the BBC.

Broadcast news (not only the BBC) has stopped being a information service and is now a didactic, proselytising monster supporting Labour at every turn.

Broadcast news is now an outrage and must be reformed completely. We are complete idiots to allow these people from a narrow and extreme cult to remain in monopoly control.

I am shortly to post some ideas on the remedy for this.

[Reply](#)



**Fausty** 4 February 2010 14:20

It is a monster. I look forward to reading your ideas.

The left haven't just sought to invade - they've sought to conquer via change. They seed an organisation or grouping, destroy its structure, then replace it.

That's the Marxist/Gramski strategy which Obama and Clinton have been using - codified in Alinski's "Rules for Radicals".

[Reply](#)



**Marcellus** 4 February 2010 14:45

Fausty

I thought Corrugated Soundbite had a good link this morning on the Frankfurt School, Gramski and all.

I think it is good thing that more and more are waking up to this DELIBERATE corrosion of all the glue in our society.

When one considers the damage they have caused and realise it has been deliberate one can only be shocked by what completely wicked and depraved people we are dealing with - while all the time they talk in such highly moralising tones.

If May/June does not bring a halt to this situation then a more organised and robust form of action (other than just blogging) may be required - for the sake of future generations. Bear in mind that the USSR took over 70 years to implode and that only took place because of outside pressure. If there is no outside pressure because there is no outside, things may last longer.

Though blogging has been excellent for it has made us all aware that we are not alone.

[Reply](#)



**steve** 23 February 2010 03:09

Couldn't agree more with this site. The BBC has completely ignored the fact that immigration was planned by the New Labour government despite continuing evidence which proves this happened. I had a look on Face Book for groups opposed to the BBC but found nothing. People don't want to address this issue in case they get labeled as cranks! It's really insidious the way opposition is kind of help in check by political correctness. You can't say that.. etc etc Like you say most stories of interest are booted into the long grass by the BBC or simply ignored. Disgusting.

[Reply](#)



**Marcellus** 23 February 2010 07:55

Thanks Steve.

You may also find friendly faces on the [Biassed BBC blog](#)

[Reply](#)



**Anonymous** 23 February 2010 13:27

If you want to know the truth of whats going on then I recommend you tune into the Alex Jones show available as a podcast online. He gets straight to the heart of things like no other and although American, the subjects covered on his show and truths addressed apply to and affect all of us. Micro-focussing on what is going on at a national governmental and mediatic level provides only a small part of the overall picture and I find that an examination of the geopolitical issues and manoeuvrings that affect us are well covered on his programme. He has featured various British guests such as Nigel Farage of UKIP, Lord Monckton of Brenchley reporting back from the Copenhagen summit, debunking the mass deception and fraud of the global warming scare which barely got given a mention in our mainstream news, only for it to be spun and shockingly played down : ("the poor people at UEA , how terrible that they got hacked"), of which the leaked hacked emails of the climate research unit based at UEA revealed evidence of widescale criminal conspiracy to deceive and defraud the population based on fudged statistics and junk science in what is being referred to as 'Climategate.' But no, our media and government wouldnt want us to think that the global warming scare is just a ploy to try and control the population, create a pretext to tax us for all we are worth, enslave us and further erode this travesty of a thing called democracy that our politicians profess

to still uphold.. Who are they trying to fool? Who is buying this??

I gave up on taking most if not all current affairs and news programmes in this country seriously a long time ago as it was clear to me that the supposedly neutral stance of the broadcasters was non-existent; that it did not give coverage to the real issues that affect us all.

The journalist Peter Hitchens talks about lunchtime rendez-vous with politicians in his book "The Broken Compass", during which items to be covered in the news are basically decided in these extended luncheons.

[Reply](#)



**Marcellus** 23 February 2010 13:38

Anonymous 13:27

Many thanks for taking the time to post your most interesting comment.

Should appreciate your comments on an earlier post on this site [The Left do not wish to improve our society - but to destroy it](#)

[Reply](#)



**Anonymous** 23 February 2010 13:59

I will be brief... There is no left or right, but the illusion of choice. The real 'bosses' which maintain the status quo, are the military industrial complex, and they own the media, and their sponsors also influence what is reported.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eZkDikRLQrw>

[Reply](#)



**Anonymous** 28 March 2010 11:38

I liked the bit about the "political correspondent" who has the last word and colours everything that has been said."

In BBC Scotland this is usually someone like Gerry Hassan (Lab), Alf Young (ex Lab researcher) or Lorraine Mann (ex Lab Councillor) although this is NEVER explained to the viewer.

The poor lighting, dodgy camera angles, the Labour guy getting to speak longer, last word soft questions, open goals missed by interviewer, etc. Its all a BBC Scotland hallmark. How they get away with it Lord only knows.

[Reply](#)



**Marcellus** 28 March 2010 12:21

@ Anon 28 March 2010 11:38

Yes, well put. I can only agree with you. It is a travesty.

Yet, apart from Carswell, very few in the politico-media elite talk about it. It is a gigantic elephant in the room. It fundamentally affects the electorate's view of each political party and is the SOLE reason for Labour's recovery in the polls.

Yet everyone talks as if the electorate somehow make up their minds quite independently, when in fact the vast majority use BBC information and only BBC information to choose which party to vote for.

It is a democratic outrage. And noone talks about it.

You might excused for thinking everyone in the media is hypnotised.

Incidentally you have mentioned links between Labour and the BBC. You may be interested in another article on this site listing the BBC-Labour people who control our information [List of unacceptable links between Labour and BBC](#)

You mention several people in your comment, and I am grateful to you. I will look into them with a view to adding them to the list.

If you can give me any more information on these people or on any others that would be very much appreciated.

We need total reform of broadcast news with, inter alia, a new Code of Practice to prevent this abuse and the corruption of information and news which in a mature information-based democracy is akin to a human right.

I will shortly be presenting some ideas aimed at addressing these issues. These ideas will almost certainly be very unpopular with the media gods. But however strong people are, if they do not represent the Truth and they peddle deceit, they can be beaten - look at the end of Act III of Wagner's *Götterdämmerung* when Valhalla burns and the omnipotent Gods are destroyed!!

[Reply](#)



**Anonymous** 18 May 2010 20:24

I agree whole heartedly with everything you say, but I think that the subtle (in fact once you recognise it) brainwashing through t.v. drama is far worse. I wised up years ago, and have for years found it just about impossible to watch any t.v. drama because of the left

wing "morality tales", the demonising or ridiculing of anyone with conservative tastes or values, the promotion and hero making of favoured groups such as ethnic minorities and homosexuals, the apologia for terrorist groups and criminals-all dressed up in the cloak of an exciting drama.

Whilst the bias in t.v. news is serious in itself I feel that t.v.drama has a far more profound effect on the opinions of the masses.

[Reply](#)



**Marcellus** 18 May 2010 22:09

Anon

The people working on Doctor Who have recently admitted that they deliberately used the story line to undermine Mrs Thatcher.

Thanks for your thoughts on this. I completely agree with you about the seriousness of this other aspect. I am very well aware of it.

I used to think it was accidental, but now I believe it was/is deliberate and intended. I now believe our society has been under deliberate attack for many years to weaken it in very many ways by many people.

This time I targeted the news specifically, but the issue you raise will have to be addressed.

Inidentally, do you have any specific suggestions on how the issue may be addressed?

[Reply](#)

Enter your comment...

Comment as: Google Account ⌵

PublishPreview

[Newer Post](#)

[Home](#)

[Older Post](#)

Subscribe to: [Post Comments \(Atom\)](#)

Not Left. Not Right.

No membership or allegiance to any political party or organisation.

Very concerned about what is happening to this country and its people.